

[[Part 1](#)]

The Koran is idiotic and infantile, yet an excellent but vain example of mankind's yearning to approach practical holiness and to delusional imaginations – that is, fantasy for the positional attainment of personal sanctification and redemption.

Year 621 AD, Surah 11 (verses 12, 17, & 113 may be from Medina period):

11/17 (Medina?): *“Can they (non-Muslims) be like those (Muslims) who accepted a Clear (Sign) (there are many signs mentioned in the Koran, but not one single one is a valid proof of Allah, as it is nowhere proved that they are initiated by Allah) from their Lord (Allah)?*

” --- For building up his followers' feeling of being superior to “the masses”, this is good psychology. This even more so if you want your followers to become a separate group, felling distance to other people or groups.

Year 621 – 622 AD, Surah 23:

23/28: *“Praise be to Allah, Who has saved us (Noah's people who the Koran claims were good Muslims) from the people who do wrong”*. --- The best were saved – the claimed Muslims. But was it rightly done by a good god to kill all the others? Not to mention even the youngest of children got a chance to learn and perhaps later convert even? And did the bad peoples end up in Paradise? For those peoples killed by other peoples, ends there for the case that they might have converted if they had got time to think or learn (and those murderers must take over the victims' burden of sins –this according to Islam).

032 23/117: *“...the Unbelievers will fail to win through!”* – But not the Muslims, as they are of much better quality?

Year 621-622 AD, Surah 32:

32/18: *“Is then the man who believes (Muslim) no better than the man who is rebellious and wicked (the non-Muslim)? Not equal are they. ”* --- This really is an obvious answer that gives itself. Do you not agree?

16/75: *“Allah sets for thee a Parable (of two men: one a slave or non-Muslim) under the dominion of another (a Muslim); he has no power of any sorts; and (the other) a man on whom We (Allah) have bestowed goodly favors’ from Ourselves, and he spends thereof (freely), privately and publicly: are the two equal? (By no means I say -praise be to Allah.) ”* ---

This verse speaks not volumes or books, but gigabytes about how Muslims –the considered superior class and of the superior religion – look down on non-Muslims. Even their holy book tells them that non-Muslims are like slaves to them – praise be to Allah. See also 16/76 just below. Actually this verse also tells a lot about how Muslims looked (looks?) on slaves. And they do rightly, “praise be to Allah”. (These last words really give insights in their ways of thinking)...

16/76: *“Allah sets forth (another) Parable of two men: one of them (non-Muslim) dumb, with no power of any sort; a wearisome burden is he to his master (Allah) ...is such a man equal with one (Muslim) who commands justice, and is on a Straight Way? ”* --- Whether this possibly strengthens the comments about 16/75 just above?

Now, we are about to transit from the Meccan part of the Quran to the Yathrib/Medina part (622 AD onward). There are 80 Surahs, and quite possibly 6 more (timeline uncertain), that likely belong to the Meccan periods. In addition, there are 22 Surahs that quite actually belong to the Medinan periods – 114 all together. Although this list is not a canonized one, we can see clear divide and huge change in the religion of Muhammad after Muhammad fled from Mecca to Yathrib/Medina in 622 AD. One knows the period of revelation (Meccan or Medinan) for nearly all the Surahs.

About Islam before 622 AD and Islam after 622 AD, the question will: *Why this sudden change in campaigns? Or did this omniscient god simply change his (perturbed) mind and would want a much more bloodied resentful religion all of a sudden? – Or did Muhammad suddenly need warriors and highwaymen to survive the cause of Allah with his followers and get powerful for ruling the sand-dunes of Arabia... For, he and his bandit group categorically survived on robbery, thieving and extortions for several years after 622 AD? And, then who made these hasty deeply violent thought changes in a teaching that originally and for those early 12 years had been at least non-violent in nature? Was it Allah or was it just this begotten Muhammad?*

When it comes to “we are the best”, there is no striking difference between “we are best” before and after 622 AD. After 622 AD are added violent hatred of unbelievers – murders, bloody battles and religious wars. Why this sudden change? Was it just there in Allah’s mind all the time and need the right time to come out?

This is entirely expected. Any “normal” new sects or religion has a need to mark the difference between “us” and “them” – and preferably in a way that makes “us” better than “them”. And when Muslims positioned themselves as a secure and powerful force ca 622 AD, Islam manifested itself in a very “inhuman” way and to a most striking extent – sanctioning the abnormal glorifications of ruthless murders, robberies, rapes, lucid suppressions, hatreds and killings. Islam changed drastically within a short period after 622 AD. While in Mecca, Allah was simply racist and Muslim-supremacist, in Medina after 622 AD, he becomes a hateful and violent dictator and freebooter.

Year 622 AD, Surah 16:

16/75: *“Allah set forth the Parable (of two men: one) a slave (= “infidel”) under the dominion of the other – and (the other) a man (= Muslim) on whom We (Allah) have bestowed goodly favours from Ourselves, and he spends thereof (freely), privately and publicly: are the two equal?”* A rhetoric question with only one answer – and of course we are better than those who are slaves under pagan gods. [Though, it is an open question who really were the blind slaves under their religion – the Pagans or the Muslims? In intense and extreme sects, the followers frequently are informal slaves of the leaders – not of the god(s) but of the leader(s).]

Year 622 AD, Surah 17:

17/14: *“Is then one (Muslim) who is on a clear (Path) from his Lord, no better than one (non-Muslim) to whom the evil of his conduct seems pleasing”*. Like more or less all such questions in the Koran, this is rhetorical and with seemingly one possible logical answer only – one that is pleasing and flattering for all believers and seemingly sobering for all proselytes.

Understanding Al-iLah – The Racist, Muslim-Supremacist Mind of the Islamic God - 2

Written by Brokaan

Saturday, 26 January 2013 03:24

47/15: “(Can those (Muslims) in such Bliss) be compared to such (non-Muslims) as shall dwell forever in the fire... ”. See 47/14 just above.

Year 622, Surah 2 (likely the first complete Surah in Medina):

2/11: “Of a surety, they (non-Muslims) are the ones who make mischief”. – A clear distinction – and the raids Muslims already were making – and more to follow – was no mischief?

2/18: “Deaf, dumb, and blind – they (“infidels”, apostates) will not return (to Islam)”. -- Yes, they have to be deaf, dumb, and blind if they question all that is wrong in the Koran. A real good reason for looking down on them.

2/99: “...none (no Muslim) reject them (the signs of Allah - though not a single one of them are logically valued proofs for Allah, as there nowhere is proved that Allah is behind them) but those (non-Muslims) who are perverse ”. – The distance between the good Muslims and the bad non-Muslims grows, the latter are even perverse! (Not to mention the situation if they do not even belong to the People of the Book, namely Jews, Christians and Sabeans (Sabah was a Christian country approximately what is now Yemen – they had become Christians via East Africa, and perhaps a little different from the Greek Catholic the Arabs met other places – Islam often uses other explanations for the Sabeans though).

There is little reason not to suppress or rob or rape or kill perverted “animals”?

2/212: “But the righteous (good Muslims) will be above them (all others) on the Day of Resurrection.... ” -- Of course, Muslims are the best.

2/254: “ Those (non-Muslims) who rejects Faith (Islam) – they are the wrongdoers”. – If you read the Koran, you will many-many times find that non-Muslims are called wrongdoers. No comment necessary, except that it builds up under an enemy picture, an enemy often so base that he is a vermin and better killed.

Understanding Al-iLah – The Racist, Muslim-Supremacist Mind of the Islamic God - 2

Written by Brokaan

Saturday, 26 January 2013 03:24

2/257: “*Of those who reject faith (non-Muslims) the patrons are the Evil Ones (the Devil and his helpers)*”. – Can human vermin be worse?

Year 624 AD, Surah 8:

8/55: “*For the worst beast in the sight of Allah are those who reject him...*” -- Not strange that all Muslims are better than everybody else. It is not difficult to be better than the worst beasts.

Year 624- 625 AD, Surah 59:

9/20: “*Not equal are the Companions of the Fire (“infidels”) and the Companions of the Garden (Muslims): it is the Companions of the Garden (Paradise) that will achieve Felicity.*” -- There is no doubt: In the eyes of Allah – Muslims are best. And in the eyes of Muhammad, they surely were best, because they gave him power and warriors?

Year 625 AD, Surah 3:

3/110a: “*Ye (Muslims) are the best of peoples, evolved for mankind...*” -- It is not possible to disbelieve that Muslims are on the top of existing humans and human societies, as the Koran says so – and not one believing Muslim is aware of that words are cheap. It also is strengthening the picture of non-Muslims as vermin or at least sub-human.

3/110b: “*....most of them (non-Muslims) are perverted transgressors*”. – Is it possible to add: that may deserve suppression and extermination – at least sometimes?

3/156: “*Oh ye who believe! Be not like the unbelievers*”. – The unmistakable order.

3/162: “*Is the man who follows the good pleasure of Allah like the man who draws on himself*

Understanding Al-iLah – The Racist, Muslim-Supremacist Mind of the Islamic God - 2

Written by Brokaan

Saturday, 26 January 2013 03:24

the wreath of Allah...? ” --- Of course, not. Muslims are better.

3/192: “*Our Lord (Allah). Any (non-Muslim) ...truly Thou coverest with shame, and never will wrongdoers find any helpers* ”. Of course, not! They are of so bad quality that they do not deserve any help.

Year 625-626 AD, Surah 61:

61/9: “*...he (Muhammad or Allah) may proclaim it (Islam) over all religion, even though the Pagans (= not Muslim, not Jew, not Christian) may detest (it)* ”. – What the pagans mean, counts exactly nothing (and in spite of what the Koran says in 2/256 about no compulsion in religion. Thousands and thousands of them have been murdered through the times only because they refused to become Muslim – even pagan Arabs in the first years of expansion). (Something Muslims never mention is that 2/256 is abrogated and made invalid by at least some 30 later verses in the Koran. All educated Muslims know this, but all the same they use 2/256 as a proof for how infidel-friendly Islam is!).

Year 625-629 AD, Surah 33:

33/58: “*And those who annoy believing men and women undeservedly bear (on themselves) a calumny and a glaring sin.* ” -- But for Muslims to steal from, rape, suppress, and murder non-Muslims without being provoked was/is ‘good and lawful’ as long as you can name it jihad – and anything is called jihad as long as the opponent are non-Muslims, not to mention Pagans. No doubt Muslims are something much better, yes.

Year 626, Surah 4:

4/76: “*Those who believe (Muslims) fight in the cause of Allah, and those who reject Faith (Islam) fight in the cause of Evil: so fight ye against the friends of Satan...* ” -- So we all, who are not Muslims, are friends of Satan. Though we personally – and also the others – just wonder: Some great force that teaches stealing, destruction, rape, enslavement, suppression, murder, hate, war – is that a benevolent god?

Understanding Al-iLah – The Racist, Muslim-Supremacist Mind of the Islamic God - 2

Written by Brokaan

Saturday, 26 January 2013 03:24

4/92: “*Never should a believer kill a believer...*” -- But non-Muslims, of course, are not counted. Tilling them perfectly OK?

4/93: “*If a man kills a believer intentionally, his recompense is Hell...*” -- Not a word is said about killing a non-Muslim. Compare NT. But then there is the difference that Muslims are valuable, non-Muslims less so.

4/141: “*And never will Allah grant to the unbelievers a way (to triumph) over the believers*”. – Muslims always will win over non-Muslims, according to this verse, at least in the end.

Year 627-628 AD, Surah 58:

58/22: “*Thou wilt not find any people who believe in Allah and the Last Day, loving those who resist Allah and his Messenger, even though they were their fathers or their sons, or their brothers, or kindred.*” -- Really bad people it seems. This sentence deserves no comment, but it is an all too common one in sects where the leaders want full control over their followers even at the expense of the latter’s kindred relationship.

Year 627-628 AD, Surah 24:

24/3: “*Let no man guilty of adultery or fortification or marry any but a woman similarly guilty, or an Unbeliever...*” --- An unbeliever can make up for a depraved Muslim. It tells Muslims something about the difference between themselves and others.

Year 631 AD, Surah 9:

9/8: “*...most of them (pagans) are rebellious and wicked.*” --- Of course, they are not even Jews or Christians, who are bad enough. Far below Muslim moral standard!

Understanding Al-iLah – The Racist, Muslim-Supremacist Mind of the Islamic God - 2

Written by Brokaan

Saturday, 26 January 2013 03:24

9/28: “*Truly the Pagans are unclean...*” --- They are the lowest caste – even lower than Jews and Christians.

9/67: “*Verily the Hypocrites are rebellious and perverse.*” --- No doubt, Muslims are better – and definitely not perverse, not even when robbing or raping or suppressing or killing, for that is “good and lawful” according to Allah’s holy book. And perverse people are to be despised, of course.

9/95: “*So leave them (the not good Muslims) alone: for they are an abomination...*” --- No comment need!

9/109: “*Which then is best? – he (Muslim) that layeth his foundation on piety to Allah - - - or he (non-Muslim) that layeth his foundation on an undermined sand-cliff ready to crumble to pieces?*” --- If there exists the smallest proof for Allah’s existence, there was no doubt about the answer.

Year 632 AD, Surah 5:

5/67: “*For Allah guideth not those (“infidels”) who reject Faith (Islam).*” --- No, only good Muslims are worth guiding.

Year unsure, Surahs 11:

11/24: “*These two kinds (of men (non-Muslims vs. Muslims) may be compared to the blind and deaf, and those who can see and hear well. Are they equal when compared?*” --- Of course, not! Muslims are much better.

Some 1,400 years ago, followers of pagan-born Bedouin Muhammad in a flash founded the complete religion of al-ilah and thus began his personal quest to bring the rest of the world

Understanding Al-iLah – The Racist, Muslim-Supremacist Mind of the Islamic God - 2

Written by Brokaan

Saturday, 26 January 2013 03:24

under the fit of Islam (literally: submission to Allah). More often than not, this submission was wrought by the edge of the Islamic sword. Several times it looked as though the Islamic JIHAD (literally: the struggles to bring about this submissions) would conquer the entire known world. The last time Muslim armies were turned back in this quest was September 11, 1683 – the day when an alliance of Christian armies led by Jan Sobieski III – the King of Poland – arrived at the Gates of Vienna to confront the advancing Muslim army. For the Islamic faithful, the date September 11th (9/11) is frozen in time—a day when their glorious Islamic vision for world conquest was stopped.

The more recent 9/11, however, marks the beginning of that great thaw! Once again the Islamic Jihad has come to life and is marching forward to take over this ambiguous world. As the West ignores this radical reality with all its attendant religious, political and ideological implications at its own perils—which is fueled by a genie’s treasure trove of petrodollars and emboldened by the extraordinary effectiveness of modern Jihadi terror—this challenge will only grow in intensity. It is absolutely vital that we understand the “deep insides of this Islamic mindset”.

To be continued....